ORC003

09.09.2022



Prepared by: Suzanne Marinello, P.E. Jacob Gradwohl Yuki Klein

Hyslop Field Research Laboratory 3455 NE Granger Ave Corvallis, OR 97330

Table of Contents

| Abbreviations | 1 |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Disclaimer | 2 |
| Preface | 3 |
| Related Contacts | 4 |
| Building Efficiency Measure (EEM) Summary | 4 |
| Building Description | 5 |
| Best Practice | 7 |
| Energy Cost Analysis | 8 |
| Major Energy Consuming Equipment Mechanical Systems Lighting Systems Controls | 9 9 10 10 |
| Detailed Energy Efficiency Measures EEM 1: Lighting Upgrade EEM 2: Occupancy Sensors EEM 3: Envelope Upgrade EEM 4: Programmable Thermostats EEM 5: Motor Upgrade | 11 11 13 15 18 19 |
| Appendix Light Fixture Photos Mechanical Photos | 20 20 24 |

Abbreviations

- AFUE Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency
- AHU Air Handling Unit
- BTU British Thermal Unit
- CFM Cubic Feet (per) Minute
- CMU Concrete Masonry Unit
- CV Constant Volume
- DAT Discharge Air Temperature
- DDC Direct Digital Control(s)
- DegF Degrees Fahrenheit
- DOE Department of Energy
- DHW Domestic Hot Water
- dP Discharge Pressure
- dT Delta T (Temperature difference)
- DX Direct Expansion
- EEM Energy Efficiency Measure
- EFLH Estimated Full Load Hours
- ETO Energy Trust of Oregon
- EUI Energy Use Index
- HC Heating Coil
- HP Horsepower
- Hr Hour
- HVAC Heating Ventilating & Air Conditioning
- HW Heating Water

- HWP Heating Water Pump
- IAC Industrial Assessment Center
- kBtu 1,000 Btus
- kW Kilowatt
- kWh Kilowatt-hours
- lbs Pounds
- LPD Lighting Power Density
- MBH kBtu/hr (1,000 BTU/hr)
- MAT Mixed Air Temperature
- OAT Outside Air Temperature
- RAT Return Air Temperature
- RF Return Fan
- SAT Supply Air Temperature
- sf Square Feet
- SF Supply Fan
- SOO Sequence of Operations
- SP Static Pressure
- TMY3 Typical Meteorological Year
- TU Terminal Unit
- VAV Variable Air Volume
- VFD Variable Frequency Drive
- W Watts
- Yr Year

Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

The intent of this energy analysis is to estimate energy savings associated with the recommended energy efficiency upgrades. This report is not intended to serve as a detailed engineering design document. Any description of proposed improvements that may be diagrammatic in nature are for the purpose of documenting the basis of cost and savings estimates for potential energy efficiency measures only. Detailed design efforts may be required by the participant to implement measures recommended as part of this energy analysis. While the recommendations in this study have been reviewed for technical accuracy and are believed to be reasonably accurate, all findings listed are estimates only. Actual savings and incentives may vary based on final installed measures and costs, actual operating hours, energy rates and usage.

Preface

The Commercial Building Energy Audit (CBEA) program is funded by the DOE and structured within the framework of its predecessor and parent program, the Industrial Assessment Center (IAC). The purpose of the CBEA is to provide customers with free energy assessments of commercial buildings, thereby increasing energy efficiency while simultaneously expanding the workforce of building efficiency professionals through the application of student participation from partnered colleges and universities. The scope of such audits is limited in nature, for the express purpose of identifying no-cost and low-cost energy savings opportunities, and a general view of potential capital improvements. This is accomplished by means of utility usage and billing evaluation, along with observation and analysis of energy using systems. The findings and recommendations within this report represent the conditions observed at the time of this site survey. Conditions and equipment usage are subject to change, and therefore the conclusions expressed within this report may not be evident in the future. The CBEA audit team has endeavored to meet what it believes is the applicable standard of care ordinarily exercised by others in conducting this energy audit. No other warranty, express or implied, is made regarding the information contained in this report.

Related Contacts

| Participant (Cust | tomer) Contact |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Contact Name | Lowell Faucett |
| Title | Oregon State University Architect |
| Phone | 541-737-5903 |
| Email | lowell.fausett@oregonstate.edu |
| Participant (Cust | tomer) Contact |
| Contact Name | Joshua Price |
| Title | Hyslop Research Facility Director |
| Phone | 971-285-0306 |
| Email | joshua.price@oregonstate.edu |
| CBEA Contact | |
| Contact Name | Suzanne Marinello P.E. |
| Title | Lead Energy Analyst Lead Instructor |
| Phone | 541-207-8205 |
| Email | marinellos@lanecc.edu |
| CBEA Contact | |
| Contact Name | Jacob Ray Gradwohl |
| Title | Lead Student Intern |
| Email | gradwoja@oregonstate.edu |
| CBEA Contact | |
| Contact Name | Yuki Klein |
| Title | Student Intern |
| Email | kleiny@oregonstate.edu |

Building Efficiency Measure (EEM) Summary

These energy efficiency measures (EEMs) are suggested for the facility. Cost savings are based on average utility rates for electricity and natural gas. Actual rates and cost savings will differ. Non-energy cost benefits are related to cost-savings due to as-avoided maintenance. Simple payback is estimated using current utility rates and estimated project costs, which may vary over time.

| | | | Annual Energy | and Cost Savin | gs | | Measure Cost and Simple Pay | | ost and Simple Payback |
|-------------------|---------------------------|------------|---------------|---------------------|---------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| Measure Number | Measure Description | Electricit | y Savings | Gas Fuel Savings | To S | tal Cost avings | Ν | Aeasure Cost | Simple Payback |
| | | kWh | kW | Therms | | | | | Year |
| EEM 1 | Lighting Upgrade* | 30258 | 10 | - | \$ | 3,123 | \$ | 37,260 | 12 |
| EEM 2 | Occupancy Sensors | 6750 | - | - | \$ | 683 | \$ | 3,000 | 4 |
| EEM 3 | Building Insulation* | 34860 | - | - | \$ | 3,529 | \$ | 72,976 | 20.7 |
| EEM 4 | Programmable Thermostats | 7500 | - | - | \$ | 759 | \$ | 2,250 | 3 |
| EEM 5 | Premium Efficient Motors* | 604 | - | - | \$ | 61 | \$ | 300 | 5 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Totals (Recom | nmended Measures) | 79972 | | 0 | \$ | 8,155 | \$ | 115,786 | 14 |

Table 1. EEM Cost Estimates

Building Description

The Field Research Laboratory is a 16,500 sf building in Corvallis, Oregon. The laboratory was originally constructed in 1973. There has been one major addition on the northside of the facility, but the date of the addition is unknown.

The primary objective of the Field Research Laboratory is to conduct field research, extension, and teaching related to the development, production, and management of agronomic crops. The laboratory operates all year round. There is no schedule for the lights, staff come and go on their own accord. All lighting is controlled by manual wall switching.

The building is shaped like a backwards "c," with the entrance of the building (bottom of the c configuration) is where the labs, office, rest rooms, and storage closet rooms are located. The hall that connects to upper and lower part of the building is where the coolers, cabinet dryers, and the larger labs are located. The upper part of the "c" configuration is where the fumigation, laboratory, and seed storage rooms are located.

The labs near the front entrance have been upgraded to double pane, vinyl framed windows. The exterior walls of the front entrance have also been upgraded to (approx) R-11 wall insulation. The remaining windows of the facility are the original single pane, metal frame windows. Except for the front entrance, the exterior walls are uninsulated, consisting of wood framing and wood siding. There is no ceiling or roof insulation visible. All the windows are manually operated to provide ventilation.

The section where the cooler and dryer are located is covered by expanded metal and concrete flooring. The cooler is refrigerated with a split system Carrier unit, with evaporators mounted along the wall, and the outdoor condensing unit mounted on the ground adjacent to the space. The ceiling of the walk-in consists of lay-in ceiling tiles with fluorescent light fixtures. Condensation is visible on the surface of the light fixtures, indicating a need for a vapor barrier in the space.

The north end of the facility (the addition) consists of an open storage room. This space is heated by a ceiling mounted gas fired unit heater. There is a hood located in the room with make-up air from wall mounted exterior wall louvers.

The laboratories are used to conduct agricultural research. Crops are collected from the surrounding fields and processed on site. The main staff consists of students conducting research and their occupancy schedules vary depending on the season. At the time of the site visit, there were observed to be less than 10 occupants present. The facility is heated with electric wall heaters which are manually controlled by wall mounted thermostats. There is no mechanical ventilation in any of the labs and offices.

There is no air conditioning of the labs or offices, except for a couple single wall mounted air conditioning units located in one of the labs and the maintenance garage.

Best Practice

This audit is per ASHRAE Level 1 requirements. The building's energy cost and efficiency were assessed by analyzing 2021 utility data.

Utility analysis was used to produce reports on the monthly consumption of both electricity and natural gas.

The mechanical and lighting schedules were used to generate outlines of energy usage in terms of demand and energy consumption.

A site visit conducted on July 26, 2022 provided a walk-through survey of the facility including its construction, operation, and maintenance, and major energy consuming equipment. Feedback from the customer related to facility performance and comfort was used to inform the survey and the resulting recommendations within this report.

The data was then used to identify no-cost and low-cost measures for improving energy efficiency. Because calculations at this level are minimal, savings and costs are approximate.

Energy Cost Analysis

Table 2. 2021 Energy Use

| | | | 2021 Electrical Data | | | |
|--------|---------|-------------|----------------------|--------|------------|------------|
| Month | kWh | kWh Charge | Charge / kWh | kW | kW Charge | Fees |
| Jan | 9,400 | 978 | \$0.104 | 36 | \$ 228 | \$ 110 |
| Feb | 12,840 | 1,266 | \$0.099 | 40 | \$ 226 | \$ 110 |
| Mar | 10,200 | 1,068 | \$0.105 | 46 | \$ 228 | \$ 110 |
| Apr | 12,720 | 1,190 | \$0.094 | 40 | \$ 226 | \$ 110 |
| May | 11,360 | 1,130 | \$0.099 | 36 | \$ 228 | \$ 110 |
| Jun | 12,560 | 1,188 | \$0.095 | 36 | \$ 226 | \$ 110 |
| Jul | 9,280 | 923 | \$0.099 | 36 | \$ 228 | \$ 110 |
| Aug | 7,960 | 861 | \$0.108 | 40 | \$ 226 | \$ 110 |
| Sep | 7,640 | 837 | \$0.110 | 40 | \$ 228 | \$ 110 |
| Oct | 9,960 | 1,024 | \$0.103 | 36 | \$ 226 | \$ 110 |
| Nov | 10,120 | 1,053 | \$0.104 | 40 | \$ 228 | \$ 110 |
| Dec | 10,680 | 1,108 | \$0.104 | 36 | \$ 226 | \$ 110 |
| TOTALS | 124,720 | \$12,626.00 | \$0.10 | 462.00 | \$2,724.00 | \$1,320.00 |

| | 2021 Natural Gas Data | | | | | | |
|--------|-----------------------|------------|--------------|---------------|------------|--|--|
| Month | Therms | Cost | Cost / Therm | Other Charges | Total | | |
| Jan | 223.1 | \$178.97 | \$0.802 | \$58.00 | \$236.97 | | |
| Feb | 254.7 | \$204.32 | \$0.802 | \$16.87 | \$221.19 | | |
| Mar | 66.2 | \$53.10 | \$0.802 | \$44.45 | \$97.55 | | |
| Apr | 18.9 | \$15.16 | \$0.802 | \$32.31 | \$47.47 | | |
| May | 9.6 | \$7.70 | \$0.802 | \$28.04 | \$35.74 | | |
| Jun | 798.4 | \$640.47 | \$0.802 | \$18.81 | \$659.28 | | |
| Jul | 757.0 | \$607.26 | \$0.802 | \$16.05 | \$623.31 | | |
| Aug | 146.9 | \$117.84 | \$0.802 | \$16.05 | \$133.89 | | |
| Sep | 113.1 | \$90.73 | \$0.802 | \$16.11 | \$106.84 | | |
| Oct | 255.5 | \$204.96 | \$0.802 | \$16.79 | \$221.75 | | |
| Nov | 921.5 | \$796.26 | \$0.864 | \$61.93 | \$858.19 | | |
| Dec | 381.3 | \$362.92 | \$0.952 | \$55.52 | \$418.44 | | |
| TOTALS | 3,946.2 | \$3,279.69 | \$0.83 | \$380.93 | \$3,660.62 | | |

Major Energy Consuming Equipment

Mechanical Systems

There were no mechanical schedules available for the building but the following information was obtained through observation.

The facility has no cooling system except a couple post-construction wall mounted air conditioners for some workspaces. Heating in the offices and labs is provided by wall mounted electric heaters. Heating in the north process labs is provided by gas fired unit heaters mounted directly in the space.

There is a walk-in cooler provided with a split system air conditioning unit. The evaporator is in the room and the condenser is located outside the lab building.

In the main entrance corridor there is a gas fired Reznor unit which provides process drying of crops and seeds.

Domestic water heating is provided by two tank type electric water heaters. Water heating is limited to lab and restroom sinks

The following tables detail the information available through nameplates. Please see Appendix II for accompanying figures.

| Table of opin of storn oon | oddio | | |
|----------------------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| Тад | Area Served | Manufacturer | Model |
| C-1 | Refrigerator | (Unreadable) | OHB10L44-E |

Table 3. Split System Schedule

Table 4. Water Heater Schedule

| Tag | Manufacturer | Model | Manufacture Date | Capacity (Gal) |
|------|------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|
| WH-1 | General Electric | PE40M09AAH | July 2011 | 40 |
| WH-2 | Rheem | PRoE50 T2 AH95 | November 2015 | 50 |

Table 5. Motor Schedule

| Тад | Manufacturer | Model |
|-----|--------------|---------------|
| M-1 | A.O. Smith | SOP2201 |
| M-2 | Century | SC-213-FC3-35 |
| M-3 | Baldor | 35B101P499H1 |

Lighting Systems

The lighting in the space consists of 15 fixture types as detailed from the Architect. Please see Appendix I for accompanying figures. A majority of the labs are lit by types A and C, though processing and specialized areas use the remaining types.

Table 6. Existing Lighting

| | Exi | sting Lighting | | Total Watt per Fixture | | |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|----------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Fixture Type | Description | Watt/Fixture | Quantity | Total Watt per Fixture | | |
| | Surface Wrap with acrylic lens, 4 | | | | | |
| A | ft with 2 lamps fluorescent | 60 | 79 | 4740 | | |
| | Industrial - Open lamps with | | | | | |
| | reflector 4 ft long 4 lamps | | | | | |
| В | fluorescent | 160 | | 0 | | |
| | Surface Wrap with acrylic lens, 8 | | | | | |
| С | ft with 2 lamps fluorescent | 120 | 10 | 1200 | | |
| | Industrial strip - Open lamps with | | | | | |
| D | reflector 8 ft long with 4 lamps | 120 | | 0 | | |
| E | Keyless Incansescent | 60 | 8 | 480 | | |
| - | High mount round HAT | | | | | |
| F | incansdescent or CFL | 300 | 5 | 1500 | | |
| G | Jelly jar incandescent | 100 | | 0 | | |
| н | Pendant stem - HAT incandescent | 300 | 6 | 1800 | | |
| | Clasified (explosion proff) jelly jar | | | | | |
| 1 | incandescent | 200 | 4 | 800 | | |
| | Industrial strip with specular | | | | | |
| J | reflector 4 ft with 2 lamps | 60 | 56 | 3360 | | |
| | Ice cube trap 4 ft 2 lamp T12 | 120 | | 400 | | |
| ĸ | fluorescent | 120 | 4 | 480 | | |
| L | Troffer 2 x 4 4 lamp fluorescent | 120 | 2 | 240 | | |
| м | Exterior Barn Light | 200 | 4 | 800 | | |
| | Exterior Small wall pack over | | | | | |
| N | man door | 75 | 1 | 75 | | |
| | Exterior Wallpack medium front | | | | | |
| 0 | entrance | 175 | 2 | 350 | | |
| | | | SUM | 15825 | | |

Controls

Lighting and heating operate during occupied periods and are manually controlled.

Detailed Energy Efficiency Measures

EEM 1: Lighting Upgrade

EXISTING CONDITIONS

The general lighting of the space consists of 15 different fixtures. These fixtures are old and mostly fluorescent, with an estimated range of 60 to 300 watts per fixture depending on the type.

PROPOSED MEASURE DESCRIPTION

Replace existing lamps with LED lamps for each fixture. These are estimated to be 20 to 80 watts depending on the type.

Table 7. Lighting Fixture Upgrade

| | Exis | ting Lighting | | | | Proj | oosed Lightin | g | |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|----------|----------------------------|
| Fixture Type | Description | Watt/Fixture | Quantity | Total W Type | /att Fixture Fixtur Type | e Description | Watt/Fixture | Quantity | Total Watt Fixture Type |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | Surface Wrap with acrylic lens, 4 ft | | ~~ | | | EMS-L48-4000LM-IMAFL-WD- | | - | |
| А | with 2 lamps fluorescent | | 60 | 79 | 4740 L1 | 40K-80CRI | 24 | . /9 | 1896 |
| | Industrial - Open lamps with | | | | | | | | |
| D | flueressent | | 160 | 10 | 1000 10 | EMS-L24-9000LIM-PST-MD-40K- | 50 | 10 | |
| в | Tiuorescent | | 160 | 10 | 1600 L2 | | 59 | 10 | 590 |
| c | surface wrap with acrylic lens, 8 it | | 120 | 10 | 1200 1 2 | EIVIS-L98-9000LIVI-IIVIAFL-WD- | 53 | 10 | . 520 |
| C | with 2 lamps hubrescent | | 120 | 10 | 1200 L3 | | 55 | 10 | 530 |
| D | reflector 8 ft long with 4 lamps | | 120 | | 0.14 | 40K-80CPI | 52 | | 0 |
| F | Keyless Incansescent | | 60 | 8 | 480 15 | | 20 | 5 | 160 |
| - | High mount round HAT | | | 0 | 100 25 | PXI W-100001 M-WD-40K-80CBI | | | |
| F | incansdescent or CEL | | 300 | 1 | 300 1.6 | PM | 74 | . 1 | 74 |
| | | | | | | PXLW-5000LM-WD-40K-80CRI- | | | |
| G | Jelly jar incandescent | | 100 | 1 | 100 L7 | PM | 35 | 1 | 35 |
| | | | | | | PXLW-10000LM-WD-40K-80CRI- | | | |
| н | Pendant stem - HAT incandescent | | 300 | 6 | 1800 L8 | PM | 74 | . 6 | 5 444 |
| | Clasified (explosion proff) jelly jar | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | incandescent | | 200 | 4 | 800 L9 | HRLL-8L-GO-AS-50K-CM | 94 | . 4 | 376 |
| | Industrial strip with specular | | | | | EMS-L48-4000LM-IMAFL-WD- | | | |
| J | reflector 4 ft with 2 lamps | | 60 | 56 | 3360 L10 | 40K-80CRI | 24 | 56 | 5 1344 |
| | Ice cube trap 4 ft 2 lamp T12 | | | | | EMS-L48-4000LM-IMAFL-WD- | | | |
| К | fluorescent | | 120 | 4 | 480 L11 | 40K-80CRI | 24 | . 4 | 96 |
| L | Troffer 2 x 4 4 lamp fluorescent | | 120 | 2 | 240 L12 | HVT-2X4-DOP-5500-4OK | 52 | 2 | 2 104 |
| М | Exterior Barn Light | | 200 | 4 | 800 L13 | PCLL | 79 | 4 | 316 |
| Ν | door | | 75 | 1 | 75 L14 | HLWPC2-P10-40K-TFTM | 28 | 1 | 28 |
| 0 | entrance | | 175 | 1 | 175 L15 | HLWPC2-P30-40K-TFTM | 71 | . 1 | 71 |
| | | | | | 16150 | | | | 6064 |
| | | | On Time H | lrs | | | | | |
| | Total Existing Watt | 16 | 150 | 3000 | 48450 kWh | | | | |
| | Total Proposed Watt | e | 064 | 3000 | 18192 kWh | | | | |

SAVINGS METHODOLOGY

Sayings are estimated using a spreadsheet calculation.

ESTIMATED COST

The estimated installation costs are as described in Table 7. Assuming 3000 hours of run time for all fixtures and \$0.1 per kWh the following savings were estimated.

| | EEM #1 Estimated Savings | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----|--------|
| | Baseline Electric Usage (kWh) | | 48450 |
| | Proposed Electric Usage (kWh) | | 18192 |
| | Electric Savings (kWh) | | 30258 |
| | Electric Cost Savings (\$) | \$ | 3,063 |
| Appual Eports (Licago & Savings | Demand Savings (kW) | \$ | 10 |
| Estimate | Electric Demand Savings (\$) | | 59 |
| Estimate | Baseline Natural Gas Usage (Therms) | - | |
| | Proposed Natural Gas Usage (Therms) | - | |
| | Natural Gas Savings (Therms) | - | |
| | Natural Gas Savings (\$) | - | |
| | Annual Energy Cost Savings | \$ | 3,123 |
| | Project Cost | \$ | 37,260 |
| Measure Cost & Simple Payback | Simple Payback (Cost/Savings) | | 11.9 |

EEM 2: Occupancy Sensors

EXISTING CONDITIONS

There are currently no occupancy sensors on site.

PROPOSED MEASURE DESCRIPTION

Add occupancy sensors for each room/area.

SAVINGS METHODOLOGY

Savings are estimated using a spreadsheet calculation. Existing ON time is estimated at 3000 hrs. Occupancy sensors are estimated to reduce ON time to 2500 hours per year, a reduction of 500 hrs. (A reduction of approx. 16% in ON time).

| Fixture Type | Description | Watt/Fixture | Quantity | Total Watt Fixture Type |
|--------------|---------------------------------|--------------|----------|----------------------------|
| | Surface Wrap with acrylic | | | |
| | lens, 4 ft with 2 lamps | | | |
| | fluorescent | 60 | /9 | 4740 |
| | reflector 4 ft long 4 lamps | | | |
| | fluorescent | 160 | | 0 |
| | | | | - |
| | Surface Wrap with acrylic | | | |
| | fluorescent | 120 | 10 | 1200 |
| | Industrial strip - Open lamps | 120 | 10 | 1200 |
| | with reflector 8 ft long with 4 | | | |
| | lamps | 120 | | 0 |
| | Keyless Incansescent | 60 | 8 | 480 |
| | High mount round HAT | | | |
| | incansdescent or CFL | 300 | 1 | 300 |
| | Jelly jar incandescent | 100 | 1 | 100 |
| | Pendant stem - HAT | | | |
| | incandescent | 300 | 6 | 1800 |
| | Clasified (explosion proff) | 200 | | |
| | Jelly Jar Incandescent | 200 | 4 | 800 |
| | reflector 4 ft with 2 lamos | 60 | 56 | 3360 |
| | Ice cube tran 4 ft 2 Jamp T12 | 00 | 50 | 2300 |
| | fluorescent | 120 | 4 | 480 |
| | Troffer 2 x 4 4 Jamp | | | |
| | fluorescent | 120 | 2 | 240 |

kWh = watts/1000 x ON time hr

40500 kWh Existing Assume O 33750 kWh Proposed Assume re

Assume On Time at 3000 hrs per year Assume reduce to 2500 hrs per year ON time

ESTIMATED COST

Assuming \$0.1 per kWh, 20 sensors, and \$150 per sensor, the following costs were estimated.

| EEM #2 Estimated Savings | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|----|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Annual Energy Usage & Savings Estimate | Baseline Electric Usage (kWh) | | 40500 | | | | |
| | Proposed Electric Usage (kWh) | | 33750 | | | | |
| | Electric Savings (kWh) | | 6750 | | | | |
| | Electric Cost Savings (\$) | \$ | 683 | | | | |
| | Baseline Natural Gas Usage (Therms) | | | | | | |
| | Proposed Natural Gas Usage (Therms) | - | | | | | |
| | Natural Gas Savings (Therms) | - | | | | | |
| | Natural Gas Savings (\$) | \$ | - | | | | |
| | Annual Energy Cost Savings | \$ | 683 | | | | |
| | Project Cost | \$ | 3,000 | | | | |
| Measure Cost & Simple Payback | Simple Payback (Cost/Savings) | | 4.4 | | | | |

EEM 3: Envelope Upgrade

EXISTING CONDITIONS

The current envelope includes an uninsulated sheet metal roof, concrete and sheet metal walls. The exterior walls of the front offices have been upgraded with R-11 insulation and double pane windows with vinyl frames. The remaining walls and roof are uninsulated. In addition, the remaining lab windows are single pane, metal framed operable windows.

PROPOSED MEASURE DESCRIPTION

Extend insulation throughout spaces to create a full thermal envelope. Replace single pane windows with updated and thermally efficient windows. Insulate the roof to further thermal envelope.

SAVINGS METHODOLOGY

Savings based on spreadsheet calculations and eQUEST modeling.



Figure 1. eQUEST model



Figure 2. Estimated energy usage for current building



Figure 3. Estimated energy usage for retrofit building

ESTIMATED COST

The envelope retrofit was estimated with prices sourced from the Architect for an approximate total of \$73,000. Combined with the eQUEST energy data, the following costs were estimated.

| EEM #3 Estimated Savings | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|----|--------|--|--|--|
| Annual Energy Usage & Savings Estimate | Baseline Electric Usage (kWh) | | 135180 | | | |
| | Proposed Electric Usage (kWh) | | 100320 | | | |
| | Electric Savings (kWh) | | 34860 | | | |
| | Electric Cost Savings (\$) | \$ | 3,529 | | | |
| | Baseline Natural Gas Usage (Therms) | - | | | | |
| | Proposed Natural Gas Usage (Therms) | - | | | | |
| | Natural Gas Savings (Therms) | - | | | | |
| | Natural Gas Savings (\$) | - | | | | |
| | Annual Energy Cost Savings | \$ | 3,529 | | | |
| Measure Cost & | Project Cost | \$ | 72,976 | | | |
| Simple Payback | Simple Payback (Cost/Savings) | | 20.7 | | | |

EEM 4 Programmable Thermostats

EXISTING CONDITIONS

There are 15 electric wall heaters manually controlled.

PROPOSED MEASURE DESCRIPTION

Install programmable thermostats to create setpoints and manual override for temperature control.

SAVINGS METHODOLOGY

Savings based on spreadsheet calculations.

Elec Heater Use (kWh) = kW x hrs ON

Currently the electric unit heaters do not have programmable thermostats and are manually controlled. Providing programmable thermostats will reduce the amount of time the spaces are heated when the spaces are unoccupied. ON time is estimated to be reduced by 100 hours per year per unit heater.

15 Electric Unit Heaters

5 kW per heater

Overheating hours = 100 hr /unit heater

5 kW x 15 x 100 hrs = 7500 kWh overheating energy use per year.

ESTIMATED COST

At \$150 per thermostat the following costs were estimated.

| EEM #4 Estimated Savings | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|----|-------|--|--|--|--|
| | Electric Savings (kWh) | | 7500 | | | | |
| | Electric Cost Savings (\$) | \$ | 759 | | | | |
| | Baseline Natural Gas Usage (Therms) | | 0 | | | | |
| | Proposed Natural Gas Usage (Therms) | | 0 | | | | |
| | Natural Gas Savings (Therms) | | 0 | | | | |
| | Natural Gas Savings (\$) | \$ | - | | | | |
| | Annual Energy Cost Savings | \$ | 759 | | | | |
| Measure Cost & Simple | Project Cost | \$ | 2,250 | | | | |
| Payback | Simple Payback (Cost/Savings) | | 3.0 | | | | |

EEM 5: Motor Upgrade

EXISTING CONDITIONS

There are currently 3 85% efficiency 3 hp motors.

PROPOSED MEASURE DESCRIPTION

Replace with 91.7% efficiency motors.

SAVINGS METHODOLOGY

Savings based on spreadsheet calculations.

| EEM #5 | | | | Calculations | | | |
|-----------------------------|------|-------|-----|--|--------|------------------|------|
| Premium Efficiency Motors | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Power (kW) = HP x .746 kW/HP x Load/efficiency | | | |
| Elec Cost = | \$ C | 0.101 | | Hrs = | 1200 | hrs run time per | year |
| | | | | Load = | 75% | | |
| Existing Conditions | | | | efficiency = | 84% | existing | |
| 3 Qty 3 HP Motors 84% eff | | | | efficiency = | 91.70% | Premuim | |
| Energy Use Existing = | | 7194 | kWh | Energy Use = Power (kW) x hrs | | | |
| | | | | Material Cost = | \$ 100 | per motor | |
| Proposed Conditions | | | | | | | |
| 3 Qty 3 HP Motors 91.7% eff | | 6590 | kWh | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

ESTIMATED COST

For 1200 operation hours per year and \$250 per motor the following savings were estimated.

| EEM #5 Estimated Savings | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|----|------|--|--|--|
| Annual Energy Usage & Savings Estimate | Baseline Electric Usage (kWh) | | 7194 | | | |
| | Proposed Electric Usage (kWh) | | 6590 | | | |
| | Electric Savings (kWh) | | 604 | | | |
| | Electric Cost Savings (\$) | \$ | 61 | | | |
| | Baseline Natural Gas Usage (Therms) | - | | | | |
| | Proposed Natural Gas Usage (Therms) | - | | | | |
| | Natural Gas Savings (Therms) | - | | | | |
| | Natural Gas Savings (\$) | - | | | | |
| | Annual Energy Cost Savings | \$ | 61 | | | |
| Measure Cost & Simple | Project Cost | \$ | 300 | | | |
| Payback | Simple Payback (Cost/Savings) | | 4.9 | | | |

Appendix

I. Light Fixture Photos



Figure A: Existing Fixture A



Figure B: Existing Fixture C



Figure C: Existing Fixture E



Figure D: Existing Fixture F



Figure E: Existing Fixture H



Fixture F: Existing Fixture I



Figure G: Existing Fixture J



Figure H: Existing Fixture K



Figure I: Existing Fixture L



Figure J: Existing Fixture M



Figure K: Existing Fixture N



Figure L: Existing Fixture O

II. Mechanical Photos



Figure M: Typical Wall Mounted Electric Heater



Figure N: Motor



Figure O: Motor Tag 1



Figure P: Motor Tag 2



Figure Q: Motor Tag 3



Figure R: Outdoor Condensing Unit



Figure S: Indoor Evaporator of Walk-In Cooler



Figure T: Reznor Gas Fired Dryer



Figure U: Gas Fired Unit Heater



Figure V: Typical Domestic Water Heater