

9.29.2022

Prepared by: Suzanne Marinello P.E. Jacob Gradwohl Yuki Klein

Benton County Sunset Research Office Building 4077 SW Research Way Corvallis, Oregon 97333







Table of Contents

Abbreviations	2
Disclaimer	3
Preface	4
Related Contacts	5
Building Efficiency Measure (EEM) Summary	6
Building Description	7
Best Practices	9
Energy Cost Analysis	10
Major Energy Consuming Equipment	12
Mechanical	12
Lighting	13
Detailed Energy Efficiency Measures	14
EEM 1 Lighting Upgrade	14
EEM 2 Occupancy Sensors	16
EEM 3 Update Controls	17
EEM 4 Provide Economizer on Upper Level Units	19
Appendix	21
Appendix 1 Photos	21
Appendix 2 eQUEST Energy Model Geometry	23





Abbreviations

- AFUE Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency
- AHU Air Handling Unit
- BTU British Thermal Unit
- CFM Cubic Feet (per) Minute
- CMU Concrete Masonry Unit
- CV Constant Volume
- DAT Discharge Air Temperature
- DDC Direct Digital Control(s)
- DegF Degrees Fahrenheit
- DOE Department of Energy
- DHW Domestic Hot Water
- dP Discharge Pressure
- dT Delta T (Temperature difference)
- DX Direct Expansion
- EEM Energy Efficiency Measure
- EFLH Estimated Full Load Hours
- ETO Energy Trust of Oregon
- EUI Energy Use Index
- HC Heating Coil
- HP Horsepower
- Hr Hour
- HVAC Heating Ventilating & Air Conditioning
- HW Heating Water

- HWP Heating Water Pump
- IAC Industrial Assessment Center
- kBtu 1,000 Btus
- kW Kilowatt
- kWh Kilowatt-hours
- lbs Pounds
- LPD Lighting Power Density
- MBH kBtu/hr (1,000 BTU/hr)
- MAT Mixed Air Temperature
- OAT Outside Air Temperature
- RAT Return Air Temperature
- RF Return Fan
- SAT Supply Air Temperature
- sf Square Feet
- SF Supply Fan
- SOO Sequence of Operations
- SP Static Pressure
- TMY3 Typical Meteorological Year
- TU Terminal Unit
- VAV Variable Air Volume
- VFD Variable Frequency Drive
- W Watts
- Yr Year

Community College



Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

The intent of this energy analysis is to estimate energy savings associated with the recommended energy efficiency upgrades. This report is not intended to serve as a detailed engineering design document. Any description of proposed improvements that may be diagrammatic in nature are for the purpose of documenting the basis of cost and savings estimates for potential energy efficiency measures only. Detailed design efforts may be required by the participant to implement measures recommended as part of this energy analysis. While the recommendations in this study have been reviewed for technical accuracy and are believed to be reasonably accurate, all findings listed are estimates only. Actual savings and incentives may vary based on final installed measures and costs, actual operating hours, energy rates and usage.

ane



Preface

The Commercial Building Energy Audit (CBEA) program is funded by the DOE and structured within the framework of its predecessor and parent program, the Industrial Assessment Center (IAC). The purpose of the CBEA is to provide customers with free energy assessments of commercial buildings, thereby increasing energy efficiency while simultaneously expanding the workforce of building efficiency professionals through the application of student participation from partnered colleges and universities. The scope of such audits is limited in nature, for the express purpose of identifying no-cost and low-cost energy savings opportunities, and a general view of potential capital improvements. This is accomplished by means of utility usage and billing evaluation, along with observation and analysis of energy using systems. The findings and recommendations within this report represent the conditions observed at the time of this site survey. Conditions and equipment usage are subject to change, and therefore the conclusions expressed within this report may not be evident in the future. The CBEA audit team has endeavored to meet what it believes is the applicable standard of care ordinarily exercised by others in conducting this energy audit. No other warranty, express or implied, is made regarding the information contained in this report.



Related Contacts

Participant (Customer) Contact					
Contact Name	Jen Brown				
Title	Sustainablilty Coordinator Benton County				
Phone	541-766-6110				
Email	jennifer.brown@co.benton.or.us				
Participant (Cust	tomer) Contact				
Contact Name	Paul Wallsinger				
Title	Facilities Manager				
Phone	541-766-6821				
Email	Paul.Walklsinger@Co.Benton.OR.US				
CBEA Contact					
Contact Name	Suzanne Marinello P.E.				
Title	Lead Energy Analyst Lead Instructor				
Phone	541-207-8205				
Email	marinellos@lanecc.edu				
CBEA Contact					
Contact Name	Jacob Ray Gradwohl				
Title	Student Intern				
Email	gradwoja@oregonstate.edu				
CBEA Contact					
Contact Name	Yuki Klein				
Title	Student Intern				
Email	kleiny@oregonstate.edu				



Building Efficiency Measure (EEM) Summary

These energy efficiency measures (EEMs) are suggested for the facility. Cost savings are based on average utility rates for electricity and natural gas. Actual rates and cost savings will differ. Non-energy cost benefits are related to cost-savings due to as-avoided maintenance. Simple payback is estimated using current utility rates and estimated project costs, which may vary over time.

Table 1: EEM Summary

		Annual Energy and Cost Savings					Measure Cost and Simple Payback		
Measure Number	Measure Description Electricity Savings		Total Cost Savings		Measure Cost		Simple Payback		
		kWh	kW	Therms					Year
EEM 1	Lighting Upgrade	94958	28	-	\$	5,739	\$	21,350	4
EEM 2	Occupancy Sensors	32365	-	-	\$	1,887	\$	2,000	1
EEM 3	Upgrade Controls	324010	-	-	\$	1,949	\$	15,000	8
EEM 4	Economzer Control on Upper Level	7310	-	-	\$	426	\$	10,000	23
Totals		458643		0	\$	10,001	\$	48,350	5





Building Description

The Sunset Research Park Office Building was constructed in 1984, and is located in Corvallis, Oregon. The facility is a story structure with offices on both levels. The ground floor houses the Juvenile Department, Dial-A—Bus, County Human Resources, OSU Extension and public meeting rooms. The upper floor houses the Assessment, Finance and Tax, Environmental Health, IT Training Classrooms and additional public meeting rooms.

The facility is open to the public Monday – Friday from 8:00 am to 5:00 pm. Staff hours vary generally from 5:30 am – 7:00 pm.

The exterior walls are of wood frame construction, R-19 insulation, with gypsum wall board on the interior surfaces and exterior wood siding. The roof is sloped, constructed of wood truss and attic insulation with asphalt shingle roofing. Windows are fixed, double pane, with vinyl frames. The floors are wood with floor joists and insulation over a 24" vented crawl space. There have been no major renovations since the original construction of the facility.

Windows are double pane, vinyl frame and have a reflective coating to reduce solar gains.

A separate structure on the site is the County Facilities Storage and Shop building. It has similar construction to the office building, with the exception that it has a concrete slab floor.

The energy source for the office is electricity. Natural gas provides heating to the unit heaters in the storage building only.








Figure 1 Office Building Lower Level Floor Plan




Figure 2 Office Building Upper Level Floor Plan



Best Practices

This audit is per ASHRAE Level 1 requirements. The building's energy cost and efficiency were assessed by analyzing 2021's utility data.

Utility analysis was used to produce reports on the monthly consumption of both electricity and natural gas. The output from these reports was used to benchmark this building against the median EUI for buildings of its size and type in the local vicinity.

The mechanical and lighting schedules were used to generate outlines of energy usage in terms of demand and energy consumption.

A site visit conducted on August 31st provided a walk-through survey of the facility including its construction, operation, and maintenance, and major energy consuming equipment. Feedback from the customer related to facility performance and comfort was used to inform the survey and the resulting recommendations within this report.

The data was then used to identify no-cost and low-cost measures for improving energy efficiency. Because calculations at this level are minimal, savings and costs are approximate.

ane



Energy Cost Analysis

Table 2: 2021 Utility Data

	2021 Electrical Data							
Month	kWh	k٧	/h Charge	Charge / kWh	kW	kW Charge	Fees	
Jan	35,040	\$	2,035	\$0.06	126	\$881.31	\$506.37	
Feb	32,640	\$	1,886	\$0.06	124	\$866.59	\$476.30	
Mar	32,640	\$	1,886	\$0.06	119	\$833.64	\$474.96	
Apr	26,640	\$	1,553	\$0.06	111	\$787.96	\$393.10	
May	21,120	\$	1,240	\$0.06	62	\$508.17	\$306.78	
Jun	22,320	\$	1,308	\$0.06	66	\$531.01	\$539.30	
Jul	25,680	\$	1,500	\$0.06	84	\$633.79	\$373.42	
Aug	5,993	\$	352	\$0.06	36	\$268.41	\$92.70	
Sep	24,240	\$	1,418	\$0.06	74	\$576.69	\$351.61	
Oct	22,080	\$	1,297	\$0.06	72	\$565.27	\$322.33	
Nov	30,720	\$	1,795	\$0.06	128	\$885.58	\$452.49	
Dec	41,040	\$	2,389	\$0.06	125	\$864.60	\$589.65	
TOTALS	320,153	\$	18,661		1,127	\$8,203.02	\$4,879.01	

	2021 Natural Gas Data								
Month	Therms	Cost	Cost / Therm	Other Charges	Total				
Jan	549	\$432.10	\$0.787	\$48.99	\$481.09				
Feb	864	\$487.36	\$0.787	\$58.01	\$545.37				
Mar	656	\$514.01	\$0.787	\$18.56	\$532.57				
Apr	494	\$390.11	\$0.787	\$41.53	\$964.21				
May	398	\$300.51	\$0.787	\$35.66	\$336.17				
Jun	150	\$148.82	\$0.787	\$25.73	\$174.55				
Jul	110	\$88.08	\$0.787	\$25.24	\$113.32				
Aug	6	\$4.49	\$0.787	\$16.28	\$20.77				
Sep	1	\$0.88	\$0.787	\$16.04	\$16.92				
Oct	0	\$0.00	\$0.787	\$15.99	\$15.99				
Nov	1	\$0.88	\$0.787	\$16.04	\$16.92				
Dec	327	\$282.41	\$0.787	\$34.48	\$316.89				
TOTALS	3,556.0	\$2,649.65		\$352.55	\$3,534.77				

The facility has an EUI (Energy Utilization Index) of 31 kBtu/sf. The Energy Star Performance Scorecard rates the facility at 84 out of 100 which is above the national median.



ENERGY STAR [®] Er	nergy Performan	ce Scorecard
	Sunset Research	Park Office Bldg
04	For Year Ending	December 31, 2021
84	Property Address	4077 SW Research Way Corvallis, Oregon 97330
	Primary Function	Office
out of 100	Gross Floor Area (ft ²)	35,200
	Year built	1990
	Energy Use per sq. ft,*	31 kBtu
Least Efficient _{Nat}	50 tional Median	Most Efficient
What is the ENERGY STAR Score? The ENERGY STAR score rates commercial building performance relative to similar buildings nationwide, number on a simple 1-100 scale, the score rates per percentile basis is building with a score of 50 perform of its peers, Higher scores mean better energy efficie less energy use and forwar greenhouse gas emission for a specific building type has not been developed, Intensity (EUI) will be displayed on this scorecard.	Expressed as a formance on a ns better than 50% morcy, resulting in ns. If a 1-100 score	t: ar.gov/scorecard
*Site energy use		
SEPA United States Environmental Protection	Date	Generated: September 28, 202

Figure 3 Energy Performance Scorecard





Major Energy Consuming Equipment

Mechanical

Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning

General Office Spaces

The main HVAC system for the office building consists of split system heat pumps. The air handling units are located in various mechanical rooms throughout the facility. The first floor air handling units are installed within mechanical closets. The upper level air handling units are installed in the attic space above the second floor. The outdoor heat pumps are mounted on the ground and located around the perimeter of the facility. Ventilation air is ducted to the first floor units through the crawlspace. The ventilation to the upper level is ducted from exterior wall louvers. Most of the units have been replaced in the last 3 years and have been maintained in good condition.

The facility is controlled by programmable thermostats There is no central control. Each system has its own thermostat. There is no air side economizer control or demand control ventilation on the systems.

Restrooms are exhausted by independent local exhaust fans.

Server Room

There is a Server Room on the first floor that is conditioned by a split system air conditioning unit. This system is scheduled to be replaced by dedicated computer room equipment with temperature and humidity control.

Storage and Shop Facility

The storage and shop building is heated and ventilated with natural gas fired units heaters. The units are controlled by local thermostats set to maintain heating setpoints of 68 degF. There is no cooling in the storage/shop building.

Domestic Hot Water

Domestic hot water use in the facility is limited to break room sinks and restroom lavatories. There are no showers or laundry services at the site. The domestic hot water system consists of electric tank type units. There are no recirculating pumps on the systems.





Lighting

The general lighting in the offices consists of 2 ft x 4ft ceiling recessed luminaires with 2-lamp 4ft T8 fluorescent bulbs. In the front entrance lobby there are recessed can lights with incandescent bulbs and track lighting for wall displays that have LED bulbs.

The lighting in the offices is manually controlled by wall switches. The entrance lobby is controlled by occupancy sensor.

The lighting in the storage/shop consists of a combination of 8 ft and 12 ft suspended fixtures with T12 bulbs. These are also manually controlled.





Detailed Energy Efficiency Measures

EEM 1 Lighting Upgrade

EXISTING CONDITIONS

The lighting in the general office areas consist of 2' x 4' luminaires with 2-4 ft T8 lamps. In the Shop building the lighting consists of suspended fixtures that are a combination of T8 and T12 lamps.

PROPOSED MEASURE DESCRIPTION

Replace existing T8 and T12 lamps with LED.

SAVINGS METHODOLOGY

Spreadsheet calculations are used to determine energy, demand and cost savings.

Existing Conditions

	lamps per					
Fixture Type	Fixtrure	Wall/lamp	Watt/fixture	Qty	Total Watt	
4' T8	2	32	64	389	24896	
8' T8	2	59	118	14	1652	
4' T12	2	60	120	1	120	
8' T12	2	75	150	23	3450	
				427	30118	Watt Existing
Recommended Change						
4' LED	2	15	30	389	11670	
8' LED	2	44	88	14	1232	
4' LED	2	15	30	1	30	
8' LED	2	44	88	23	2024	Watt Proposed
Hours "ON"	3380	hrs				
				Ca	alculations	
Existing Energy =	101799	kWh		Energy (l	kWh) = Lighting	Fixture Watt X No. of Fixture x "ON Hrs
Existing Energy Cost =	\$ 5,934					
				Demand	(kW) = Lighting	g total Watt/1000
Proposed Energy =	6841	kWh				
Proposed Energy Cost =	\$ 399					
				Estimate	ed Cost/Fixture	= \$20/tube
Existing Demand =	30			Installat	•	\$30/Fixture
C C				mstanat		\$507 Intere
Existing Demand Cost =	-					
Proposed Demand =	2.0			Total Co		427
Proposed Demand Cost =	15			Total Co	st = \$ 21,3	350





EEM #1 Estimated Savings							
	Baseline Electric Usage (kWh)		101799				
	Proposed Electric Usage (kWh)		6841				
	Electric Savings (kWh)		94958				
	Electric Cost Savings (\$)	\$	5,535				
	Demand Savings (kW)		28				
Annual Energy Usage & Savings Estimate	Electric Demand Savings (\$)	\$	204				
Savings Estimate	Baseline Natural Gas Usage (Therms)	-					
	Proposed Natural Gas Usage (Therms)	-					
	Natural Gas Savings (Therms)	-					
	Natural Gas Savings (\$)	-					
	Annual Energy Cost Savings	\$	5,739				
Measure Cost & Simple	Project Cost	\$	21,350				
Payback	Simple Payback (Cost/Savings)		3.7				





Calculations

Energy (kWh) = Lighting Fixture Watt X No. of Fixture x "ON Hrs

EEM 2 Occupancy Sensors

EXISTING CONDITIONS

Office lighting is controlled by wall switches.

PROPOSED MEASURE DESCRIPTION

Replace existing wall switches with occupancy sensors.

SAVINGS METHODOLOGY

Spreadsheet calculations are used to determine energy and cost savings.

Offices do not have Occupancy Sensors

Fixture Type	Description	Watt/Fixture	Quantity	Total Watt Fixture Type
General Offices	4' T8	64	389	24896

3380 hrs

2080 hrs

"ON" Time Existing=

Proposed reduces "ON" Time from 10 hrs/day 5 days per week to 8 hrs/day

"ON" Time proposed =

EEM #2 Estimated Savings							
	Baseline Electric Usage (kWh)		84148				
	Proposed Electric Usage (kWh)		51784				
	Electric Savings (kWh)		32365				
Appual Energy Lleage & Cavings	Electric Cost Savings (\$)	\$	1,887				
Annual Energy Usage & Savings Estimate	Baseline Natural Gas Usage (Therms)						
	Proposed Natural Gas Usage (Therms)	-					
	Natural Gas Savings (Therms)	-					
	Natural Gas Savings (\$)	\$	-				
	Annual Energy Cost Savings	\$	1,887				
	Project Cost	\$	2,000				
Measure Cost & Simple Payback	Simple Payback (Cost/Savings)		1.1				

Lane



EEM 3 Update Controls

EXISTING CONDITIONS

The split system heat pumps are controlled by stand-alone programmable thermostats.

PROPOSED MEASURE DESCRIPTION

Replace existing thermostats with digital control system and sensors providing for control access to the facility.

SAVINGS METHODOLOGY


DOE Energy Modeling eQUEST software was used to estimate baseline energy use and compare this with upgrading controls energy use. It is assumed that the controls will optimize the run time of the HVAC equipment. The baseline energy model was calibrated to 2021 total energy use (kWh) to within 5%. This is considered acceptable for this level of analysis.

Calculations		Proposed: DDC Control		
Elec Cost = Baseline Energy Use = Proposed Energy Use =	\$ 0.06 324010 kWh 290570 kWh	Assumes contr closer scheduli	•	
Energy Savings = Energy Cost Savings =	\$ 33440 kWh 1,949	New Contols	\$	15,000

	EEM #3 Estimated Savings							
	Electric Savings (kWh)		324010					
	Electric Cost Savings (\$)	\$	1,949					
	Baseline Natural Gas Usage (Therms)		0					
	Proposed Natural Gas Usage (Therms)		0					
	Natural Gas Savings (Therms)		0					
	Natural Gas Savings (\$)	\$	-					
	Annual Energy Cost Savings	\$	1,949					
Measure Cost & Simple	Project Cost	\$	15,000					
Payback	Simple Payback (Cost/Savings)		7.7					

Community College~






Electric Consumption (kWh x000)

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total
Space Cool	0.00	0.04	0.11	1.12	3.47	5.96	7.24	9.11	5.91	1.94	0.30	0.02	35.22
Heat Reject.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Refrigeration	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Space Heat	6.99	4.07	2.35	0.78	0.07	-	-	-	-	0.55	3.62	4.65	23.08
HP Supp.	2.83	0.84	0.38	0.03	-	-	-	-	-	0.03	0.56	1.42	6.08
Hot Water	0.59	0.57	0.69	0.62	0.60	0.59	0.52	0.58	0.53	0.52	0.54	0.59	6.94
Vent. Fans	2.00	1.90	2.31	2.11	2.11	2.21	2.00	2.31	2.11	2.00	2.00	2.11	25.16
Pumps & Aux.	0.42	0.38	0.46	0.34	0.16	0.07	0.03	0.02	0.06	0.25	0.39	0.35	2.93
Ext. Usage	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Misc. Equip.	8.12	7.57	8.89	8.28	8.37	8.53	8.12	8.89	8.28	8.12	8.02	8.37	99.56
Task Lights	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Area Lights	10.01	9.47	11.39	10.45	10.47	10.91	10.01	11.39	10.45	10.01	9.99	10.47	125.03
Total	30.97	24.85	26.57	23.71	25.24	28.27	27.92	32.30	27.33	23.43	25.43	27.98	324.01

Water Heating Ht Pump Supp. Space Heating Refrigeration Heat Rejection Space Cooling

EEM #3 Energy Model – Baseline Energy Use



Electric Consumption (kWh x000)

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total
Space Cool	0.00	0.03	0.05	0.59	2.51	4.77	5.97	7.56	4.80	1.41	0.19	0.01	27.89
Heat Reject.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Refrigeration	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Space Heat	6.65	4.15	2.76	0.95	0.09	-	-	-	-	0.67	3.68	4.68	23.62
HP Supp.	3.63	1.21	0.55	0.05	-	-	-	-	-	0.04	0.78	1.85	8.12
Hot Water	0.59	0.57	0.69	0.62	0.60	0.59	0.52	0.58	0.53	0.52	0.54	0.59	6.95
Vent. Fans	1.82	1.73	2.10	1.91	1.91	2.01	1.82	2.10	1.91	1.82	1.82	1.91	22.88
Pumps & Aux.	0.43	0.38	0.45	0.34	0.16	0.07	0.03	0.02	0.06	0.24	0.39	0.35	2.93
Ext. Usage	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-	-
Misc. Equip.	7.33	6.82	7.98	7.45	7.55	7.67	7.33	7.98	7.45	7.33	7.23	7.55	89.65
Task Lights	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Area Lights	8.70	8.23	9.88	9.07	9.09	9.46	8.70	9.88	9.07	8.70	8.67	9.09	108.53
Total	29.16	23.13	24.45	20.98	21.90	24.57	24.37	28.11	23.83	20.73	23.30	26.04	290.57

EEM #3 Energy Model - Proposed Energy Use



EEM 4 Provide Economizer on Upper Level Units

EXISTING CONDITIONS

The split system heat pumps on the upper level do not have functioning economizer control.

PROPOSED MEASURE DESCRIPTION

Provide economizer control on the upper level heat pumps.

SAVINGS METHODOLOGY

DOE Energy Modeling eQUEST software was used to estimate baseline energy use and compare this with adding economizers to the upper level split system heat pumps. The baseline energy model was calibrated to 2021 total energy use (kWh) to within 5%. This is considered acceptable for this level of analysis.


Calculations

Elec Cost =	\$ 0.06
Baseline Energy Use =	324010 kWh
Proposed Energy Use =	316700 kWh
Energy Savings =	7310 kWh
Energy Cost Savings =	\$ 426.1

EEM #4 Estimated Savings									
	Electric Savings (kWh)		7310						
	Electric Cost Savings (\$)	\$	426						
	Baseline Natural Gas Usage (Therms)		0						
	Proposed Natural Gas Usage (Therms)		0						
	Natural Gas Savings (Therms)		0						
	Natural Gas Savings (\$)	\$	-						
	Annual Energy Cost Savings	\$	426						
Measure Cost & Simple	Project Cost	\$	10,000						
Payback	Simple Payback (Cost/Savings)		23.5						

lane






Area Lighting Task Lighting Misc. Equipment	Exterior Usage	Water Heating	Refrigeration
	Pumps & Aux.	Ht Pump Supp.	Heat Rejection
	Ventilation Fans	Space Heating	Space Cooling

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total
Space Cool	0.00	0.04	0.11	1.12	3.47	5.96	7.24	9.11	5.91	1.94	0.30	0.02	35.22
Heat Reject.	-	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Refrigeration	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				-	-	
Space Heat	6.99	4.07	2.35	0.78	0.07	-	-	-	-	0.55	3.62	4.65	23.08
HP Supp.	2.83	0.84	0.38	0.03		-	-			0.03	0.56	1.42	6.08
Hot Water	0.59	0.57	0.69	0.62	0.60	0.59	0.52	0.58	0.53	0.52	0.54	0.59	6.94
Vent. Fans	2.00	1.90	2.31	2.11	2.11	2.21	2.00	2.31	2.11	2.00	2.00	2.11	25.10
Pumps & Aux.	0.42	0.38	0.46	0.34	0.16	0.07	0.03	0.02	0.06	0.25	0.39	0.35	2.93
Ext. Usage	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Misc. Equip.	8.12	7.57	8.89	8.28	8.37	8.53	8.12	8.89	8.28	8.12	8.02	8.37	99.50
Task Lights	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					-	
Area Lights	10.01	9.47	11.39	10.45	10.47	10.91	10.01	11.39	10.45	10.01	9.99	10.47	125.03
Total	30.97	24.85	26.57	23.71	25.24	28.27	27.92	32.30	27.33	23.43	25.43	27.98	324.0

EEM #4 Energy Model – Baseline Energy Use



	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total
Space Cool	0.00	0.02	0.05	0.61	2.37	4.28	6.21	8.04	4.67	1.45	0.18	0.01	27.89
Heat Reject.	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Refrigeration	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Space Heat	6.99	4.07	2.35	0.78	0.07	-	-	-	-	0.55	3.62	4.65	23.08
HP Supp.	2.83	0.84	0.38	0.03	-	-	-	-	-	0.03	0.56	1.42	6.08
Hot Water	0.59	0.57	0.69	0.62	0.60	0.59	0.52	0.58	0.53	0.52	0.54	0.59	6.94
Vent. Fans	2.00	1.90	2.31	2.11	2.11	2.21	2.00	2.31	2.11	2.00	2.00	2.11	25.16
Pumps & Aux.	0.42	0.38	0.46	0.34	0.16	0.07	0.03	0.02	0.06	0.25	0.39	0.35	2.94
Ext. Usage	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Misc. Equip.	8.12	7.57	8.89	8.28	8.37	8.53	8.12	8.89	8.28	8.12	8.02	8.37	99.56
Task Lights	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Area Lights	10.01	9.47	11.39	10.45	10.47	10.91	10.01	11.39	10.45	10.01	9.99	10.47	125.03
Total	30.97	24.82	26.52	23.21	24.15	26.59	26.90	31.22	26.09	22.94	25.31	27.97	316.70

EEM #4 Energy Model - Proposed Energy Use



Appendix

Appendix 1 Photos



Figure A: Typical Office Lighting



Figure B: Typical Split System Heat Pump Indoor Unit (Lower Level Mechanical Room)







Figure C: Typical Split System Heat Pump Indoor Unit (Upper Level Attic Installation)



Figure D: Typical Split System Heat Pump Outdoor Units







Figure E Electric Storage Tank Domestic Water Heater

Appendix 2 eQUEST Energy Model Geometry




Figure F 3-D and 2-D Dimensional Geometry

